

## CS 281 Test II

March 26, 2003

1. Express the assertions given below as a wff of a predicate logic using the following predicates. The universe is the set of objects.[15]

$CH(x)$ :  $x$  is a check.

$CS(x)$ :  $x$  is cashable.

$V(x)$ :  $x$  is valid.

(a) Some checks are not cashable.

$$\exists x[CH(x) \wedge \neg CS(x)]$$

(b) All checks are invalid only if they are not cashable.

$$\forall x[[CH(x) \wedge \neg V(x)] \rightarrow \neg CS(x)]$$

(c) For a check to be cashable it is necessary that it is valid.

$$\forall x[[CH(x) \wedge CS(x)] \rightarrow V(x)]$$

2. Translate the following wffs into English using the given predicates. The universe is the set of objects.[15]

$B(x)$ :  $x$  is a bee.

$F(x)$ :  $x$  is a flower.

$L(x, y)$ :  $x$  loves  $y$ .

(a)  $\exists x \exists y [B(x) \wedge F(y) \wedge L(x, y)]$

Some bees love flowers.

(b)  $\exists x \forall y [B(x) \wedge [F(y) \rightarrow L(x, y)]]$

Some bees love all flowers.

(c)  $\neg \forall x \forall y [[B(x) \wedge F(y)] \rightarrow L(x, y)]$

Not every bee loves every flower.

3. Find the following Cartesian products: [6]

(a)  $\{\emptyset\} \times \{1, 3, 5\} = \{\langle \emptyset, 1 \rangle, \langle \emptyset, 3 \rangle, \langle \emptyset, 5 \rangle\}$

(b)  $\{1, 4\} \times \emptyset = \emptyset$

4. Recursively define each of the following sets:

(a) The set of positive integers  $T$  that produces the remainder 2 when divided by 5. [10]

Basis Clause:  $2 \in T$

Inductive Clause: If  $x \in T$ , then  $(x + 5) \in T$ .

Extremal Clause: Usual clause.

(b)  $P = \{3^n - 2 \mid n \text{ is a natural number and } n \geq 1.\}$  [10]

Basis Clause:  $1 \in P$

Inductive Clause: If  $x \in P$ , then  $(3x + 4) \in P$ .

Extremal Clause: Usual clause.

For Inductive Clause, try to find a way to express  $3^{n+1} - 2$  in terms of  $3^n - 2$ . Note that  $3^{n+1} - 2$  is next to  $3^n - 2$  as  $n$  advances.

Since  $3^{n+1} = 3 \times 3^n$ ,  $3^{n+1} - 2 = 3 \times (3^n - 2) + 4$ . Hence the element next to  $x$  in  $P$  is  $3x + 4$ .

5. Prove  $A - (A - B) = A \cap B$  for sets  $A$  and  $B$ . [14]

$$\begin{aligned} A - (A - B) &= A \cap \overline{A \cap \overline{B}} = A \cap (\overline{A} \cup B) = (A \cap \overline{A}) \cup (A \cap B) = \emptyset \cup (A \cap B) \\ &= A \cap B \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, let  $x$  be an arbitrary element.

$$\text{Then } x \in A - (A - B) \Leftrightarrow x \in A \wedge x \notin (A - B) \Leftrightarrow x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in A \wedge x \notin B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in A \wedge (x \notin A \vee x \in B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x \in A \wedge x \notin A) \vee (x \in A \wedge x \in B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in \emptyset \vee x \in (A \cap B)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in (A \cap B).$$

Hence  $A - (A - B) = A \cap B$ .

6. Indicate which of the following are true and which are false. [16]

- (a)  $\emptyset \in \{\{x\}, \emptyset, x\}$  : True
- (b)  $\{x\} \subseteq \{x, \{x\}\}$  : True
- (c)  $\{x\} \in \{x, \{\{x\}\}\}$  : False
- (d)  $\emptyset \subseteq \{x, \{x\}\}$  : True

7. Which rules of inference are used to establish the conclusion of the following argument ?

Show your reasoning symbolically. Use  $C(x)$ ,  $F(x)$  and  $P(x)$  to denote "x is colorful", "x is a flower" and "x is a plant" respectively, and assume that the universe is the set of all objects. [14]

Premises:

"Some plants are flowers."

"All flowers are colorful."

Conclusion:

"Some plants are colorful."

The argument in symbolic form is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} \exists x[P(x) \wedge F(x)] \\ \forall x[F(x) \rightarrow C(x)] \\ \hline \exists x[P(x) \wedge C(x)] \end{array}$$

From  $\exists x[P(x) \wedge F(x)]$  by Existential Instantiation, there is an object  $c$  for which  $P(c) \wedge F(c)$  holds.

Hence by Simplification  $F(c)$  holds.

By Universal Instantiation from  $\forall x[F(x) \rightarrow C(x)]$   $F(c) \rightarrow C(c)$  holds.

This with  $F(c)$  by Modus Ponens produces  $C(c)$ .

Also from  $P(c) \wedge F(c)$  by Simplification  $P(c)$  holds.

Hence  $P(c) \wedge C(c)$  holds.

Hence by Existential Generalization  $\exists x[P(x) \wedge C(x)]$  is obtained.